Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Journal of Sport and Health Science 3 (2014) 170–178

www.jshs.org.cn

Review

Women and exercise in aging

Kristina L. Kendall*, Ciaran M. Fairman

Department of Health and Kinesiology, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30458, USA

Received 22 October 2013; revised 29 January 2014; accepted 17 February 2014

Abstract

Aging is associated with physiological declines, notably a decrease in bone mineral density (BMD) and lean body mass, with a concurrent increase in body fat and central adiposity. Interest in women and aging is of particular interest partly as a result of gender specific responses to aging, particularly as a result of menopause. It is possible that the onset of menopause may augment the physiological decline associated with aging and inactivity. More so, a higher incidence of metabolic syndrome (an accumulation of cardiovascular disease risk factors including obesity, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high blood pressure, and high fasting glucose) has been shown in middle-aged women during the postmenopausal period. This is due in part to the drastic changes in body composition, as previously discussed, but also a change in physical activity (PA) levels. Sarcopenia is an age related decrease in the cross-sectional area of skeletal muscle fibers that consequently leads to a decline in physical function, gait speed, balance, coordination, decreased BMD, and quality of life. PA plays an essential role in combating physiological decline associated with aging. Maintenance of adequate levels of PA can result in increased longevity and a reduced risk for metabolic disease along with other chronic diseases. The aim of this paper is to review relevant literature, examine current PA guidelines, and provide recommendations specific to women based on current research.

Keywords: Aerobic; Exercise prescription; Flexibility; Older adults; Strength training

1. Introduction

It is anticipated that there will be almost 89 million people 65 years old or above by the year 2050.[1] As the number of elderly people worldwide increases,[2] interest in health related outcomes of aging has concurrently increased. It has been suggested that an age-associated decline in physical function, cardiorespiratory fitness, and muscle mass may accelerate the physiological decline in later decades of life[3] and lead to an increase in morbidity and mortality rates.[2,4]

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: kkendall@georgiasouthern.edu (K.L. Kendall)
Peer review under responsibility of Shanghai University of Sport

Production and hosting by Elsevier

Women are of particular interest due to some gender differences accompanying aging, particularly as a result of menopause. Physiological decline, particularly a reduction in bone mineral density (BMD) can be attributed to estrogen deficiency as a result of menopause.[5] Reductions in BMD put older women at risk for osteoporosis which can lead to balance and gait issues, a higher risk of injury, subsequent financial costs,[6] and even a higher risk of mortality.[2] More so, a decrease in muscle strength in combination with reduced BMD can further impair balance and mobility, leading to a decline in functional capacity.[7] Thus, it becomes apparent of the need for resistance training to attenuate the decline in lean mass, muscle mass, and BMD that accompany aging and inactivity. Other physiological changes that occur with aging are alterations to the cardiovascular (CV) system, which can further impair functional capacity. Remarkably, by the age of 75 years, more than half of the functional capacity of the CV system has been lost,[8] leading to VO_{2max} values lower than that which is required for many common activities of daily

2095-2546
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2014.02.001

living.[9] More than just leading to decreases in quality of life, low cardiorespiratory fitness has been associated with CV disease and all-cause mortality.[10–12] The CV system remains adaptable at any age,[13,14] with relative increases in VO_{2max} in older populations equivalent to those seen in younger individuals.

Physical activity (PA) has long been associated with the attenuation of physical decline associated with aging.[15] The purpose of this article is to:

1. Examine the decline in physiological variables associated with aging and a sedentary lifestyle.
2. Review recent research investigating exercise interventions on health related components in women.
3. Provide recommendations for PA that build on prior research and guidelines to improve physiological functioning in aging women.

2. Physiological decline with aging and inactivity

Aging is associated with physiological declines, notably a decrease in BMD and lean body mass (LBM), with a concurrent increase in body fat and central adiposity.[16,17] It is possible that the onset of menopause may augment the decline in physiological decline associated with aging and inactivity.[5] Wang and colleagues[18] compared almost 400 early postmenopausal women and found higher levels of total body fat, as well as abdominal and android fat in postmenopausal women. Consequently, the authors could not conclude that the changes in body fat were related to menopause or merely a result of aging alone. The authors did note, however, that changes in fat-free mass (FFM), including bone mass, may be attributed to menopause-related mechanisms, including deficiencies in growth hormones and estrogen. Douchi et al.[5] had similar findings when comparing body composition variables between pre- and post-menopausal women. The authors demonstrated an increase in percentage of body fat (30.8% ± 7.1% *vs*. 34.4% ± 7.0%), trunk fat mass (6.6 ± 3.9 kg *vs*. 8.5 ± 3.4 kg), and trunk−leg fat ratio (0.9 ± 0.4 *vs*. 1.3 ± 0.5) with aging. Concurrently, they found that lean mass (right arm, trunk, bilateral legs, and total body (34.5 ± 4.3 kg *vs*. 32.5 ± 4.0 kg)) also declined with age. Baker and colleagues[19] found that females had a greater decline in BMD with age compared to males. More so, a higher incidence of metabolic syndrome (an accumulation of cardiovascular disease risk factors including obesity, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high blood pressure, and high fasting glucose) has been shown in middle-aged women during the postmenopausal period. This is due in part to the drastic changes in body composition, as previously discussed, but also a change in PA levels. In a longitudinal study of over 77,000 (34−59 years) women spanning 24 years, van Dam et al.[20] found high body mass index (BMI, 25+) and lower levels of PA (<30 min/day of moderate to vigorous intensity activity) to be attributed with a higher risk of CV disease, cancer, and all-cause mortality. Furthermore, Sisson et al.[21] found higher levels of sedentary behavior (<4 h/day) associated with a 54% increase in risk for metabolic syndrome only in those women not meeting national guidelines.

Sarcopenia is an age related decrease in the cross-sectional area of skeletal muscle fibers that consequently leads to a decline in physical function, gait speed, balance, coordination, decreased bone density, and quality of life.[22] Additionally, due to lower levels of vigorous activity, aging populations experience notably higher losses in type II fibers than type I fibers,[23] which can reduce strength, speed, power, and overall PA. Subsequently, maintenance of muscle mass and strength is imperative to maintain a high quality level of physical functioning, and attenuate measures of frailty. Muscular adaptations to exercise (increase in muscle size, cross-sectional area, and consequent strength) may counteract muscle loss and physical decline associated with sarcopenia.

Thus it appears that PA plays a pivotal role in the attenuation of physical decline and can potentially improve physical functioning and quality of life with age.[24,25] Furthermore, maintenance of adequate levels of PA can result in increased longevity, and a reduced risk for metabolic disease along with other chronic diseases. A list of physiological changes associate with different modes of activity and their potential health outcome are listed in Table 1.[26–28]

3. CV exercise

CV disease is the major cause of death in older women.[29–31] It therefore becomes of utmost importance to decrease the risk for CV disease. Cross-sectional and intervention studies have repeatedly shown that endurance training can improve insulin sensitivity,[32,33] lower blood pressure,[34] improve lipid profiles,[35–37] and decrease body fat,[36–38] all factors related to CV disease. Furthermore, aerobic exercise has been shown to increase VO_{2max}, an index of cardiorespiratory fitness that on average decreases 5%−15% per decade after the age of 25.[39] These physiological responses to aerobic exercise results in an increased efficiency of the system during exercise (increased stroke volume, capillary, and mitochondrial density; lower heart rate and blood pressure) and ability to better deliver oxygen and glucose to working muscles.[40]

In an investigation into the level of activity that may protect against CV disease mortality, Hamer and Stamatakis[41] recruited 23,747 men and women without a known history of CV disease at baseline. The researchers tracked PA levels and causes of death over a period of 7.0 ± 3.0 years. By calculating a hazard ratio (HR), the authors found that a minimum of two sessions of moderate to vigorous PA per week was associated with a reduced risk of CV disease and all-cause mortality. Compared to active adults, those individuals who were inactive were at elevated risk of CV disease (HR of 1.41 *vs*. active: HR of 0.82) and all-cause mortality (HR of 1.50 *vs*. active: HR of 1.11). Supporting these findings, several studies have demonstrated walking, or walk−jogging, for 30−60 min, 2−5 days per week can significantly decrease body weight, increase BMD and VO_{2max}, and improve glucose levels in older women.[42–45]

Table 1
Physiological changes and health benefits associated with different modes of activity.[26–28]

Training system	Example of exercise	Physiological change	Potential health benefit
Cardiovascular training	Walking Running Dancing Soccer Swimming Basketball	↑Capillary density ↑Mitochondrial density ↑Myoglobin content ↑Immune function ↓Heart rate ↓Blood pressure ↑Ligament strength ↑Tendon strength ↓Body fat % ↑Enzyme activity	↑Aerobic power ↑Ability of body to deliver oxygen and nutrients to working muscle ↑Muscular endurance ↑Ability to perform activities of daily living ↑Delay of fatigue ↑Physical function ↓Risk of breast and colon cancer ↓Risk of type II diabetes ↓Coronary heart disease
Resistance training	Weight training Aquatic weight training	↑Muscle girth ↑Muscle fiber size ↑Contractile proteins ↓Body fat % ↓Mitochondrial density ↑Strength and power ↑Bone mineral density ↑Size and strength of tendons	↑Strength ↑Balance ↑Posture ↓Risk of osteoporosis ↓Risk of falls ↓Risk of injury ↑Physical functioning
Flexibility	Stretching exercise Doorway stretch Hamstring stretch	↑Elasticity of tendons	↑Range of motion ↑Ability to perform activities of daily living

Although reaching current recommended PA levels (30 min of moderate activity 5 days/week, or 20 min vigorous activity 3 days/week) is sufficient for partially reducing risk factors for CV disease, it does not eliminate the additional risk that overweight/obesity poses.[46] Thus increasing levels of PA in order to improve body composition may further reduce the risk of CV disease and mortality. Martins et al.[47] found that 16 weeks of aerobic training for 45 min, 3 days per week, progressing from 40% to 50% HR reserve to 71%−85% HR reserve significantly improved waist circumference (pre: 93.3 ± 9.9 cm, post: 90.0 ± 8.6 cm), in addition to upper body strength (number of arm curl repetitions in 30 s (pre: 15 ± 4, post: 20 ± 5)), lower body strength (number of chair stand repetitions in 30 s (pre: 12 ± 4, post: 18 ± 4)) and aerobic endurance, as measured by a 6-min walk test (pre: 380 ± 75 m, post: 438 ± 85 m). Sixteen weeks after the cessation of the training program, body mass, LDL, and C-reactive protein (CRP) were significantly lower than baseline values (body mass: 73.1 ± 11.9 kg *vs*. 72.2 ± 11.4 kg; LDL: 79.8 ± 32.0 mg/dL *vs*. 55.3 ± 17.6 mg/dL; CRP: 3.38 ± 1.48 mg/L *vs*. 1.39 ± 1.35 mg/L). This highlights the need to gradually progress the intensity of aerobic training over time to allow for adequate metabolic adaptations to occur.

Evaluating different modalities for aerobic training, Bocalini et al.[48] compared the effects of land (LE) versus water-based (WE) aerobic exercise in sedentary older women over the course of 12 weeks (3 days/week at ∼70% of age-predicted HR_{max}). Although VO_{2max}, lower body strength, and agility significantly improved in both groups, only the WE group saw a significant decrease in resting HR (pre: 92 ± 2 bpm, post: 83 ± 3 bpm), a significant increase in upper body strength (arm curl test, pre: 17 ± 3 repetitions, post: 25 ± 1 repetitions), and improved markers of flexibility, both lower body (sit-and-reach, pre: 24 ± 3 cm, post:36 ± 2 cm) and upper body (back scratch, pre: −10 ± 2 cm, post: −6 ± 2 cm), suggesting its use as an alternative to traditional aerobic training. More so, walking in conjunction with other aerobic exercise forms, such as swimming, cycling, or dancing, resulted in improving VO_{2max} and blood pressure,[49] favorable changes in lipids,[49] and improved muscle strength and endurance, flexibility, and balance.[39]

4. Strength training

After the age of 30, a decrease in muscle size and thickness, along with an increase in intramuscular fat takes place.[50] The loss of muscle mass, resulting from a decreased number of muscle fibers and atrophy of remaining muscle fibers (sarcopenia), has a strong role in the loss of strength, as well as the ability to perform activities of daily living.[51,52] The decline in isometric and dynamic muscle strength is a consequence of the aging process, with approximately 30% of strength lost between the ages of 50 and 70 years.[53] Furthermore, cross-sectional data suggest that muscle strength declines by approximately 15% per decade in the 6th and 7th decade, and 30% thereafter.[54–57] Resistance training (RT) has increased its popularity among older adults because of its benefits on muscle fitness, body composition, mobility, and functional capacity. More so, regular RT can offset the typical age-associated decline in bone health by maintaining or increasing BMD and total body mineral content.[58]

Although there is little question as to the benefits of RT in an older population, there is still some disparity regarding the ideal training volume (i.e., number of sets, repetitions, and load).[59,60] Previous research has shown that older women who resistance train intensely (80% 1-RM) three times per week (whole-body RT, including elbow flexion and extension, seated row, overhead press, leg extension and curl, bench press, and sit ups) have similar improvements in FFM and total body strength. Hunter and colleagues[61] demonstrated a 1.8-kg increase in FFM for the high-resistance group, compared to an increase of 1.9 kg for the variable-resistance group. Additionally, they observed a training effect for all 1-RM tests (seated press, 26.6%; bench press, 28.5%; arm curl, 63.7%; and leg press, 37.1%). Interestingly, those who trained with a variable resistance demonstrated an increase in ease of performing daily tasks over those who trained intensely three times per week. These findings suggest that training too intensely or too frequently may result in increased fatigue and consequently a reduced training adaptation in older women due to insufficient time to recover.

Low volume training (LV, 1 set per exercise) compared to high volume training (HV, 3 sets per exercise) performed twice a week for 13 weeks induced similar improvements in maximal dynamic strength for knee extensors and elbow flexion, muscular activation of the vastus medialis and the biceps brachii, and muscle thickness for the knee extensors and elbow flexors in elderly women.[62] The authors suggest that during the initial months of training, elderly women can significantly increase upper- and lower-body strength by utilizing low volume training. However, after longer periods of training, larger muscle groups may require greater training volume to provide further strength gains.[63,64]

Allowing individuals to self-regulate their exercise intensity to a preferred intensity may lead to greater enjoyment and stronger compliance to an exercise program.[65−67] Additionally, it has been suggested that a low-intensity resistance exercise protocol may be more effective for older adults by increasing adherence rates.[68,69] Compared to a high intensity resistance exercise program, lower attrition rates were observed when training used lower intensities (70% *vs*. 80% 1-RM) and frequencies (2 *vs*. 3 days).[70] However, Elsangedy and colleagues[71] recently found that older women engaged in an RT program that allowed them to self-select their training load selected loads that were less than that recommended for improvements in muscle strength and endurance (42% 1-RM compared to 50%−70% 1-RM). While this intensity is suitable for very deconditioned individuals, it may not provide enough overload to the body to elicit changes in strength and functional capacity. Though limited data exist on the chronic effects of self-selected training load on muscular fitness and functional autonomy, a recent study by Storer et al.[72] observed significant improvements LBM, upper body strength, peak leg power, and VO_{2max} in middle-aged males using a personal trainer compared to self-training. Albeit using males, this study supports the idea that guidance from a personal trainer and the use of a progressive overload, in which intensity is gradually increased over time, may be optimal to maximize chronic positive effects.

Traditional strength training, including the use of weight machines, has been shown to induce positive changes in strength and FFM in older adults.[38,73,74] However, it becomes imperative to provide alternative methods of RT to the traditional use of weight machines, which may be more convenient for certain populations, including older women. In a recent study by Colado et al.,[75] the authors examined three forms of RT (traditional weight machines (WM), elastic bands (EB), and aquatic devices (AD)) and compared their effectiveness at improving body composition and physical capacity. Following the 10-week training program, all three groups reduced FM (WM: 5.15%, EB: 1.93%, and AD: 2.57%), increased FFM (WM: 2.52%, EB: 1.15%, AD: 0.51%), in addition to upper- and lower-body strength, with minimal differences between the different groups.

5. Flexibility

Flexibility training has been shown to improve muscle and connective tissue properties, reduce joint pain, and alter muscle recruitment patterns.[76] Although results from previous studies examining changes in flexibility following an intervention have provided mixed results, more recent studies have demonstrated significant improvements in range of motion of various joints in older adults participating in regular exercise.[77−79] While the research examining interventions for improving flexibility in an older population is limited, increases of 5%−25% have been shown following interventions using a combination of aerobic exercise, RT, and stretching.[80,81] The typical duration for each exercise session was 60 min, performed 3 days per week for 12 weeks to 1 year. Filho et al.[82] examined the effects of 16 weeks of combination (aerobic, flexibility, and resistance) training on metabolic parameters and functional autonomy in elderly women. Twenty-one women (68.9 ± 6.8 years) participated in three weekly sessions of stretching, resistance exercise, and moderate intensity walking for 16 weeks. Significant improvements in metabolic parameters, including glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, high density lipoproteins, LDL, blood pressure, and BMI were seen following the intervention. More so, the addition of resistance and flexibility exercises appeared to enhance functional autonomy (the ability to perform activities of daily living). Supporting these findings, Bravo et al.[80] found that flexibility, agility, strength, and endurance all significantly improved following 12 months of an exercise program, in which participants performed weight bearing exercises (walking and stepping), aerobic dancing, and flexibility exercises for 60 min three times a week. The exercise group was also able to maintain spinal BMD while control groups saw significant reductions. Furthermore, in a study by Hopkins et al.,[81] 65 older women participated in a 12-week exercise program, consisting of low-impact aerobics, stretching, and progressive dance movements. Each session was 50 min long and was performed three times per week. The exercising group significantly improved cardiorespiratory endurance, strength, balance, flexibility, agility, and body fat.

The aforementioned findings primarily include “combination” training where interventions include aerobic and/or RT with flexibility training. Thus we cannot deduce what effect flexibility training alone had. However, combination training has been shown to be just as beneficial to flexibility as flexibility training alone.[83,84] Therefore, with the positive

Table 2
PA interventions in postmenopausal women with health related fitness outcomes.[28] Adapted with permission.

Study	Groups	*n* (mean age (year))	Mode of exercise and duration of study	Training prescription	Main results
Asikainen et al.[42,91]	2 EX: EX1, EX2, and CTL, stratified by HRT	134 (57)	Walking 15 weeks	30−60 min, 65% VO_{2max} in one (EX1) or two (EX2) daily session, 5 days/week	Improvement in VO_{2max} (+2.5 mL/kg/min (EX1, EX2); improvement in diastolic BP: −3 mmHg (combined EX1, EX2), glu: −0.21 mmol/L (EX1), −0.13 mmol/L (EX2), weight: −1.2 kg (EX1), −1.1 kg (EX2), F%: −2.1% (EX1), −1.7% (EX2)
Asikainen et al.[43,91]	4 EX: EX1−4 and CTL, stratified by HRT	121 (55)	Walking 4 weeks	54 min, 55% VO_{2max} (EX1) 65 min, 45% VO_{2max} (EX2) 38 min, 55% VO_{2max} (EX3) 46 min, 45% VO_{2max} (EX4) 5 days/week	Improvement in VO_{2max}: +2.9 mL/kg/min (EX1), +2.6 mL/kg/min (EX2), +2.4 mL/kg/min (EX3), +2.2 mL/kg/min (EX4)), F% (−1.2% (EX1), −1.1% (EX2), −0.6% (EX3), −1.0% (EX4))
Brooke-Wavell et al.[44]	EX, CTL	84 (64)	Walking 1 year	20 min self-selected pace	Increased BMD (EX: +0.2, CTL: −2.0)
Busby et al.[92]	EX, Disc., EX + Disc., CTL	50 (52)	Walking−jogging 12 weeks	30 min, 60%−73% VO_{2max}	Improved VO_{2max} (4% in EX, 6% in Disc. group)
Hopkins et al.[81]	EX, CTL	65 (65)	Aerobic dance and stretching 12 weeks	15 min warm-up, 20 min low-impact EX, 15 min cool-down, 3 days/week	Increased aerobic fitness (17%), strength/endurance (62%), flex and balance (12%)
King et al.[93]	3 EX: HIG, HIH, LIH, CTL	160 (57)	Walking, jogging, cycling, treadmills 1 year	40 min, 73%−88%VO_{2max}, 3 days/week; 30 min 60%−73% VO_{2max}, 5 days/week	Increased VO_{2max} (1.5−2.3 mL/kg/min)
Ready et al.[94]	2 EX: 3 days/week, 5 days/week, CTL	79 (61)	Walking 24 weeks	60 min 60% VO_{2max}, 3 or 5 days/week	Improved VO_{2max} (12% (3 days/week), 14% (5 days/week)), weight (−0.6 kg, 3 days/week), and F% (−4.2% (3 days/week), −4.0% (5 days/week))
Shinkai et al.[95]	EX + Diet, CTL	32 (54)	Cycling, walking, jogging, swimming 12 weeks	45−60 min, 50%−60% VO_{2max}, 3−4 days/week	Decreased body mass (−6%) and F% (−10%)
Bravo et al.[80]	EX, CTL, stratified by age, etionate, and HRT	142 (60)	Walking, aerobic dancing, resistance EX (wrist weights, elastic tubes), flex coordination EX 1 year	10 min warm-up and flex EX; 25 min, aerobic training, 54%−69% VO_{2max}; 15 min EX for upper limbs and trunk, 12−15 maximal reps; 5 min EX for flex and coordination 3 days/week	Improved strength (15%) and half-mile walk time (−9%)
Chow et al.[96]	A, A + S, CTL	10 (56)	Walking, jogging, dancing, resistance EX (wrist and ankle weights) 1 year	30 min, 73% VO_{2max} (A, A + S); 10−15 min of limb and trunk EX, 10-RM, 10 reps (A + S), 3 days/week	Improved bone mass (4%−7% in A + S) and VO_{2max} (22% in A, 32% in A + S)
Irwin et al.[97]	EX, CTL	173 (61)	Walking, cycling, strength training 1 year	45 min, 75% VO_{2max}, 3 days/week	Decreased weight (−1.4 kg) and F% (−1%), increased VO_{2max} (11%)
Bemben et al.[98]	2 EX: high load, high rep, and CTL, stratified by BMD	35 (51)	Strength training (Cybex) 24 weeks	12 EX, 80% 1-RM with 8 reps (high load) or 40% 1-RM with 16 reps, 3 sets, 3 days/week	Increased muscle strength (20%−40%)
Scanlon et al.[99]	RE and CTL	26 (70)	Progressive resistance training 6 weeks	6−10 EX, 2−4 sets, 8−12 reps; ∼70−85% 1-RM and no exceed 5−6 on 1−10 RPE scale	Increased muscle strength (32%) and MQ (31%)
Conceicao et al.[100]	RE and CTL	10 (53)	Progressive resistance training 16 weeks	1st 8 weeks: 3 sets, 10 reps (60 s rest), 3 days/week; 2nd 8 weeks: 3 sets, 8 reps (90 s rest), 3 days/week	Improved F% (−6.75%), LBM (2.46%), leg press (41.29%), and bench press (27.23%)

Balsamo et al.[101]	2 EX: RE, AWB, CTL	63 (53)	60 min, 10−15 reps, 3 days/week (RE), AWB EX, 3 days/week	60 min, 10−15 reps, 3 days/week (RE), AWB EX, 3 days/week	Increased BMD (total body: 5.73%, lumbar spine: 16.4%, and femoral neck: 8.73%)
Elliott et al.[73]	RE, CTL	15 (55)	Progressive resistance training 8 weeks	5 min warm-up, 3 sets, 8 reps, 80% 10-RM, 3 days/week (RE)	Increased strength (lat pulldow: 88%), bench press (120%), knee extension (650%)
Radaelli et al.[62]	LV, HV	20 (~66)	Progressive resistance training 13 weeks	2 days/week. 1st 6 weeks: 20 reps, 1 set (LV), 3 sets (HV). Weeks 7−10: 12−15 reps, 1 set (LV), 3 sets (HV). Final 3 weeks: 10 reps, 1 set (LV), 3 sets (HV)	Increased strength (knee extension 1-RM: 31.8% ± 20.5% for LV and 38.3% ± 7.3% for HV; elbow flexion 1-RM: 25.1% ± 9.5% for LV and 26.6% ± 8.9% for HV; lower body isometric maximal strength: 3.9% ± 19.3% for LV and 14.1% ± 10.7% for HV; upper body isometric maximal strength: 20.9% ± 17.5% for LV and 16.3% ± 9.8% for HV), MT, and MQ

Abbreviations: A = aerobic; AWB = aquatic weight bearing; BMD = bone mineral density; BP = blood pressure; CTL = control; Disc. = discussion; EX = exercise; F% = body fat percent; flex = flexibility; glu = glucose; HIG = high-intensity group-based; HIH = high-intensity; HRT = hormone replacement therapy; HV = high volume; LBM = lean body mass; LIH = low-intensity hydrodensitometry; LV = low volume; MT = muscle thickness; MQ = muscle quality; RE = resistance exercise; rep = repetition; RM = maximal repetitions in resistance training; RPE = ratings of perceived exertion; S = strengthening; VO_{2max} = maximal oxygen consumption.

adaptations from RT and aerobic training, the addition of flexibility training to an exercise intervention is warranted, and may improve functional autonomy, range of motion, balance, and mobility in older women (Table 2).[26]

6. Recommendation

While current American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) guidelines recommend light- to moderate-intensity activities to optimize health, moderate- to high-intensity exercise may be necessary to elicit positive CV adaptations and reduce the risk for CV disease. Older adults should aim to get at least 30 min of moderate activity, or 20 min of more vigorous activity (≥6 METS or 60%−<90% HRR), 3 days a week. It is recommended that programs include low-impact, large muscle, rhythmic forms of exercise, including swimming, walking, biking, and dancing. More so, women may benefit from participating in group-based fitness classes, such as step aerobics and dance classes. Social support and group cohesiveness received from group fitness classes may help to increase self-efficacy, leading to long term adherence as well as greater enjoyment and satisfaction from the exercise program.[85−87] The addition of stretching exercises (light- to moderate-intensity, hold for 30 s each muscle group, 3−4 repetitions) to these programs can serve to increase flexibility and range of motion.

ACSM recommends that older adults perform RT at least 2 non-consecutive days per week, including 8−10 exercises involving all the major muscle groups at moderate intensity (selecting a weight that allows 10−15 repetitions of each exercise), with 2−3 min of rest between each set. Additionally, those who are very deconditioned could start RT with a "very light" to "light" intensity (40%−50% 1-RM) to improve strength, power, and balance.[27] It is advised that women unfamiliar with RT consult a fitness professional prior to beginning a program. It is suggested that one must use progressive overload to stimulate muscular adaptations to resistance exercise. Typical recommendations for progression of RT is to first increase repetitions, followed by an increase in weight (0.5 kg for upper body, 1 kg for lower body) per week. For optimal results from a resistance program, the focus should be on full-body, compound movements (bench press, squat, pull-ups, *etc*.). Furthermore, adherence to group-based RT programs tends to be higher among older women than home based programs.[88,89] Additionally, Elsangedy and colleagues[71] recently found that women who self-selected resistance exercise intensity fell below current ACSM guidelines. Consequently, the participation in a supervised or group-based resistance exercise program may improve women's adherence and health benefits stemming from a higher intensity attained. Finally, the authors propose circuit training, which incorporates both RT and aerobics, as an attractive alternative for weight training. One of the major benefits to circuit training is that it can illicit the same positive physiological responses as traditional RT, thus providing a time-efficient alternative to improve muscular strength and functional fitness.[90]

Table 3
Recommendations for exercise based on current research.

Activity type	Frequency	Duration	Intensity	Examples
Aerobic	2−3 days/week	>30 min	Moderate intensity (50%−60% HR_{max}; RPE 5−6)	Walking, jogging, swimming, and dancing
Resistance	2−3 days/week	8−10 exercises; 1−3 sets each	Moderate intensity; 10−15 reps, where the last 1−2 reps are difficult to perform (RPE 5−6 for moderate, 7−8 for vigorous)	Calisthenics (body weight exercises: pushups, squats, *etc.*), resistance band exercises, circuit training, free-weight or machine weight exercise, large, multi-joint exercises
Flexibility	>2 days/week	10 min; 8−10 stretches	Light−moderate intensity; hold each stretch for 10−30 s, 3−4 reps each set. Stretch to the point of slight discomfort	Sit-and-reach, shoulder stretch

Abbreviations: HR = heart rate; RPE = ratings of perceived exertion, on a scale of 0−10 for level of physical exertion; rep = repetition.

The ACSM recommendations for flexibility are to aim for greater than 2−3 days per week, ultimately aiming for daily training. Static stretching should be held 10−30 s at a point of mild discomfort, although stretches lasting 30−60 s may provide additional benefits. Two to four repetitions per exercise are recommended, aiming for at least 60 s of stretching for each major muscle-tendon unit (Table 3).[27]

The recommendations we have provided are general. The frequency, intensity, type, and duration of exercise one is able to achieve and maintain will vary from person to person. Thus we suggest that an individualized approach be utilized. While some activity is better than none, individuals aiming to improve CV health, muscular strength and endurance, and functional mobility should strive to meet the minimum recommendations we have provided.

References

1. Jacobsen LA, Kent M, Lee M, Mather M. America's aging population. *Popul Bull* 2011;**66**:2−16.
2. Quirino MA, Modesto-Filho J, de Lima Vale SH, Alves CX, Leite LD, Brandao-Neto J. Influence of basal energy expenditure and body composition on bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *Int J Gen Med* 2012;**5**:909−15.
3. Weiss EP, Spina RJ, Holloszy JO, Ehsani AA. Gender differences in the decline in aerobic capacity and its physiological determinants during the later decades of life. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2006;**101**:938−44.
4. Rossi AP, Watson NL, Newman AB, Harris TB, Kritchevsky SB, Bauer DC, et al. Effects of body composition and adipose tissue distribution on respiratory function in elderly men and women: the health, aging, and body composition study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2011;**66**:801−8.
5. Douchi T, Yamamoto S, Yoshimitsu N, Andoh T, Matsuo T, Nagata Y. Relative contribution of aging and menopause to changes in lean and fat mass in segmental regions. *Maturitas* 2002;**42**:301−6.
6. Nelson ME, Rejeski WJ, Blair SN, Duncan PW, Judge JO, King AC, et al. Physical activity and public health in older adults − recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2007;**116**:1094−105.
7. Karinkanta S, Heinonen A, Sievanen H, Uusi-Rasi K, Fogelholm M, Kannus P. Maintenance of exercise-induced benefits in physical functioning and bone among elderly women. *Osteoporos Int* 2009;**20**:665−74.
8. Barnard RJ, Grimditch GK, Wilmore JH. Physiological characteristics of sprint and endurance masters runners. *Med Sci Sports* 1979;**11**:167−71.
9. Durstine JL, Moore GE, editors. *ACSM's Exercise Management for Persons with Chronic Diseases and Disabilities*. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics; 2003.
10. Paffenbarger Jr RS, Wing AL, Hyde RT. Physical activity as an index of heart attack risk in college alumni. *Am J Epidemiol* 1978;**108**:161−75.
11. Blair SN, Kampert JB, Kohl 3rd HW, Barlow CE, Macera CA, Paffenbarger Jr RS, et al. Influences of cardiorespiratory fitness and other precursors on cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in men and women. *J Am Med Assoc* 1996;**276**:205−10.
12. Myers J, Prakash M, Froelicher V, Do D, Partington S, Atwood JE. Exercise capacity and mortality among men referred for exercise testing. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**346**:793−801.
13. Makrides L, Heigenhauser GJ, Jones NL. High-intensity endurance training in 20- to 30- and 60- to 70-yr-old healthy men. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 1990;**69**:1792−8.
14. Kohrt WM, Malley MT, Coggan AR, Spina RJ, Ogawa T, Ehsani AA, et al. Effects of gender, age, and fitness level on response of VO_{2max} to training in 60−71 yr olds. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 1991;**71**:2004−11.
15. Booth FW, Laye MJ, Roberts MD. Lifetime sedentary living accelerates some aspects of secondary aging. *J Appl Physiol* 2011;**111**:1497−504.
16. Kim KZ, Shin A, Lee J, Myung SK, Kim J. The beneficial effect of leisure-time physical activity on bone mineral density in pre- and postmenopausal women. *Calcified Tissue Int* 2012;**91**:178−85.
17. Nassis GP, Geladas ND. Age-related pattern in body composition changes for 18−69 year old women. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2003;**43**:327−33.
18. Wang Q, Hassager C, Ravn P, Wang S, Christiansen C. Total and regional body-composition changes in early postmenopausal women: age-related or menopause-related? *Am J Clin Nutr* 1994;**60**:843−8.
19. Baker JF, Davis M, Alexander R, Zemel BS, Mostoufi-Moab S, Shults J, et al. Associations between body composition and bone density and structure in men and women across the adult age spectrum. *Bone* 2013;**53**:34−41.
20. van Dam RM, Li T, Spiegelman D, Franco OH, Hu FB. Combined impact of lifestyle factors on mortality: prospective cohort study in US women. *Br Med J* 2008;**337**:a1440. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a1440.
21. Sisson SB, Camhi SM, Church TS, Martin CK, Tudor-Locke C, Bouchard C, et al. Leisure time sedentary behavior, occupational/domestic physical activity, and metabolic syndrome in U.S. men and women. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord* 2009;**7**:529−36.
22. Hughes VA, Frontera WR, Wood M, Evans WJ, Dallal GE, Roubenoff R, et al. Longitudinal muscle strength changes in older adults: influence of muscle mass, physical activity, and health. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;**56**:B209−17.
23. Doherty TJ. Aging and sarcopenia. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 2003;**95**:1717−27.
24. Curl WW. Aging and exercise: are they compatible in women? *Clin Orthop Relat R* 2000;**372**:151−8.
25. DiPietro L. Physical activity in aging: changes in patterns and their relationship to health and function. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;**56**:13−22.
26. Stathokostas L, Little RM, Vandervoort AA, Paterson DH. Flexibility training and functional ability in older adults: a systematic review. *J Aging Res* 2012;**2012**:306818. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/306818.
27. Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, Franklin BA, Lamonte MJ, Lee IM, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining

cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;**43**:1334–59.
28. Asikainen TM, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Miilunpalo S. Exercise for health for early postmenopausal women: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Sports Med* 2004;**34**:753–78.
29. Mieres JH, Shaw LJ, Arai A, Budoff MJ, Flamm SD, Hundley WG, et al. Role of noninvasive testing in the clinical evaluation of women with suspected coronary artery disease: consensus statement from the Cardiac Imaging Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and the Cardiovascular Imaging and Intervention Committee, Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention, American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2005;**111**:682–96.
30. Stampfer MJ, Hu FB, Manson JE, Rimm EB, Willett WC. Primary prevention of coronary heart disease in women through diet and lifestyle. *N Engl J Med* 2000;**343**:16–22.
31. Castelli WP. Cardiovascular disease in women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1988;**158**(6 Pt 2):1553–60. 1566–7.
32. Stevenson ET, Davy KP, Seals DR. Hemostatic, metabolic, and androgenic risk factors for coronary heart disease in physically active and less active postmenopausal women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1995;**15**:669–77.
33. Tonino RP. Effect of physical-training on the insulin resistance of aging. *Am J Physiol* 1989;**256**:E352–6.
34. Hagberg JM, Park JJ, Brown MD. The role of exercise training in the treatment of hypertension: an update. *Sports Med* 2000;**30**:193–206.
35. Katzel LI, Bleecker ER, Colman EG, Rogus EM, Sorkin JD, Goldberg AP. Effects of weight loss *vs*. aerobic exercise training on risk factors for coronary disease in healthy, obese, middle-aged and older men. A randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 1995;**274**:1915–21.
36. Seals DR, Allen WK, Hurley BF, Dalsky GP, Ehsani AA, Hagberg JM. Elevated high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in older endurance athletes. *Am J Cardiol* 1984;**54**:390–3.
37. Seals DR, Hagberg JM, Hurley BF, Ehsani AA, Holloszy JO. Effects of endurance training on glucose tolerance and plasma lipid levels in older men and women. *J Am Med Assoc* 1984;**252**:645–9.
38. Bemben DA, Bemben MG. Effects of resistance exercise and body mass index on lipoprotein-lipid patterns of postmenopausal women. *J Strength Cond Res* 2000;**14**:80–6.
39. Heath GW, Hagberg JM, Ehsani AA, Holloszy JO. A physiological comparison of young and older endurance athletes. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 1981;**51**:634–40.
40. Powell KE, Paluch AE, Blair SN. Physical activity for health: what kind? How much? How intense? On top of what? *Annu Rev Public Health* 2011;**32**:349–65.
41. Hamer M, Stamatakis E. Low-dose physical activity attenuates cardiovascular disease mortality in men and women with clustered metabolic risk factors. *Circ-cardiovasc Qual* 2012;**5**:494–9.
42. Asikainen TM, Miilunpalo S, Oja P, Rinne M, Pasanen M, Vuori I. Walking trials in postmenopausal women: effect of one *vs*. two daily bouts on aerobic fitness. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2002;**12**:99–105.
43. Asikainen TM, Miilunpalo S, Oja P, Rinne M, Pasanen M, Uusi-Rasi K, et al. Randomised, controlled walking trials in postmenopausal women: the minimum dose to improve aerobic fitness? *Br J Sports Med* 2002;**36**:189–94.
44. Brooke-Wavell K, Jones PR, Hardman AE. Brisk walking reduces calcaneal bone loss in post-menopausal women. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 1997;**92**:75–80.
45. Hatori M, Hasegawa A, Adachi H, Shinozaki A, Hayashi R, Okano H, et al. The effects of walking at the anaerobic threshold level on vertebral bone loss in postmenopausal women. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1993;**52**:411–4.
46. Akbartabartoori M, Lean MEJ, Hankey CR. The associations between current recommendation for physical activity and cardiovascular risks associated with obesity. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2008;**62**:1–9.
47. Martins RA, Neves AP, Coelho-Silva MJ, Verissimo MT, Teixeira AM. The effect of aerobic versus strength-based training on high-sensitivity C-reactive protein in older adults. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010;**110**:161–9.
48. Bocalini DS, Serra AJ, Murad N, Levy RF. Water- versus land-based exercise effects on physical fitness in older women. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2008;**8**:265–71.
49. Lindheim SR, Notelovitz M, Feldman EB, Larsen S, Khan FY, Lobo RA. The independent effects of exercise and estrogen on lipids and lipoproteins in postmenopausal women. *Obstet Gynecol* 1994;**83**:167–72.
50. Imamura K, Ashida H, Ishikawa T, Fujii M. Human major psoas muscle and sacrospinalis muscle in relation to age: a study by computed tomography. *J Gerontol* 1983;**38**:678–81.
51. Aagaard P, Suetta C, Caserotti P, Magnusson SP, Kjaer M. Role of the nervous system in sarcopenia and muscle atrophy with aging: strength training as a countermeasure. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2010;**20**:49–64.
52. Andersen JL. Muscle fibre type adaptation in the elderly human muscle. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2003;**13**:40–7.
53. Larsson L, Grimby G, Karlsson J. Muscle strength and speed of movement in relation to age and muscle morphology. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 1979;**46**:451–6.
54. Larsson L. Morphological and functional characteristics of the ageing skeletal muscle in man. A cross-sectional study. *Acta Physiol Scand Suppl* 1978;**457**:1–36.
55. Murray MP, Duthie Jr EH, Gambert SR, Sepic SB, Mollinger LA. Age-related differences in knee muscle strength in normal women. *J Gerontol* 1985;**40**:275–80.
56. Danneskiold-Samsoe B, Kofod V, Munter J, Grimby G, Schnohr P, Jensen G. Muscle strength and functional capacity in 78–81-year-old men and women. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1984;**52**:310–4.
57. Harries UJ, Bassey EJ. Torque–velocity relationships for the knee extensors in women in their 3rd and 7th decades. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1990;**60**:187–90.
58. Nelson ME, Fiatarone MA, Morganti CM, Trice I, Greenberg RA, Evans WJ. Effects of high-intensity strength training on multiple risk factors for osteoporotic fractures. A randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 1994;**272**:1909–14.
59. Hass CJ, Feigenbaum MS, Franklin BA. Prescription of resistance training for healthy populations. *Sports Med* 2001;**31**:953–64.
60. Marshall PW, McEwen M, Robbins DW. Strength and neuromuscular adaptation following one, four, and eight sets of high intensity resistance exercise in trained males. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2011;**111**:3007–16.
61. Hunter GR, Wetzstein CJ, McLafferty Jr CL, Zuckerman PA, Landers KA, Bamman MM. High-resistance versus variable-resistance training in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;**33**:1759–64.
62. Radaelli R, Botton CE, Wilhelm EN, Bottaro M, Lacerda F, Gaya A, et al. Low- and high-volume strength training induces similar neuromuscular improvements in muscle quality in elderly women. *Exp Gerontol* 2013;**48**:710–6.
63. Kraemer WJ, Ratamess NA, French DN. Resistance training for health and performance. *Curr Sports Med Rep* 2002;**1**:165–71.
64. Kraemer WJ, Adams K, Cafarelli E, Dudley GA, Dooly C, Feigenbaum MS, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Progression models in resistance training for healthy adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002;**34**:364–80.
65. Ekkekakis P. Pleasure and displeasure from the body: perspectives from exercise. *Cogn Emotion* 2003;**17**:213–39.
66. Ekkekakis P, Hall EE, Petruzzello SJ. Practical markers of the transition from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism during exercise: rationale and a case for affect-based exercise prescription. *Prev Med* 2004;**38**:149–59.
67. Hall EE, Ekkekakis P, Petruzzello SJ. The affective beneficence of vigorous exercise revisited. *Br J Health Psych* 2002;**7**:47–66.
68. Williams DM. Exercise, affect, and adherence: an integrated model and a case for self-paced exercise. *J Sport Exerc Psy* 2008;**30**:471–96.
69. Williams DM, Dunsiger S, Ciccolo JT, Lewis BA, Albrecht AE, Marcus BH. Acute affective response to a moderate-intensity exercise stimulus predicts physical activity participation 6 and 12 months later. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2008;**9**:231–45.
70. Vukovich MD, Stubbs NB, Bohlken RM. Body composition in 70-year-old adults responds to dietary beta-hydroxy-beta-methylbutyrate similarly to that of young adults. *J Nutr* 2001;**131**:2049–52.

71. Elsangedy HM, Krause MP, Krinski K, Alves RC, Hsin Nery Chao C, da Silva SG. Is the self-selected resistance exercise intensity by older women consistent with the American College of Sports Medicine guidelines to improve muscular fitness? *J Strength Cond Res* 2013;**27**:1877−84.
72. Storer TW, Dolezal BA, Berenc M, Timmins JE, Cooper CB. Effect of supervised, periodized exercise training versus self-directed training on lean body mass and other fitness variables in health club members. *J Strength Cond Res* 2014;**28**:1995−2006.
73. Elliott KJ, Sale C, Cable NT. Effects of resistance training and detraining on muscle strength and blood lipid profiles in postmenopausal women. *Br J Sports Med* 2002;**36**:340−5.
74. Fahlman MM, Boardley D, Lambert CP, Flynn MG. Effects of endurance training and resistance training on plasma lipoprotein profiles in elderly women. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2002;**57**:B54−60.
75. Colado JC, Garcia-Masso X, Rogers ME, Tella V, Benavent J, Dantas EH. Effects of aquatic and dry land resistance training devices on body composition and physical capacity in postmenopausal women. *J Hum Kinet* 2012;**32**:185−95.
76. Mazzeo RS, Cavanagh P, Evans WJ, Fiatarone M, Hagberg J, McAuley E, et al. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;**30**:992−1008.
77. Hubleykozey CL, Wall JC, Hogan DB. Effects of a general exercise program on passive hip, knee, and ankle range of motion of older women. *Top Geriatr Rehabil* 1995;**10**:33−44.
78. Frekany GA, Leslie DK. Effects of an exercise program on selected flexibility measurements of senior citizens. *Gerontologist* 1975;**15**:182−3.
79. Lesser M. The effects of rhythmic exercise on the range of motion in older adults. *Am Correct Ther J* 1978;**32**:118−22.
80. Bravo G, Gauthier P, Roy PM, Payette H, Gaulin P, Hervey M, et al. Impact of a 12-month exercise program on the physical and psychological health of osteopenic women. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1996;**44**:756−62.
81. Hopkins DR, Murrah B, Hoeger WWK, Rhodes RC. Effect of low-impact aerobic dance on the functional fitness of elderly women. *Gerontologist* 1990;**30**:189−92.
82. Filho MLM, de Matos DG, Rodrigues BM, Aidar FJ, de Oliveira GR, Salgueiro RS, et al. The effects of 16 weeks of exercise on metabolic parameters, blood pressure, body mass index and functional autonomy in elderly women. *Int Sport Med J* 2013;**14**:86−93.
83. Simao R, Lemos A, Salles B, Leite T, Oliveira E, Rhea M, et al. The influence of strength, flexibility, and simultaneous training on flexibility and strength gains. *J Strength Cond Res* 2011;**25**:1333−8.
84. Morey MC, Schenkman M, Studenski SA, Chandler JM, Crowley GM, Sullivan Jr RJ, et al. Spinal-flexibility-plus-aerobic versus aerobic-only training: effect of a randomized clinical trial on function in at-risk older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 1999;**54**:M335−42.
85. Litt MD, Kleppinger A, Judge JO. Initiation and maintenance of exercise behavior in older women: predictors from the social learning model. *J Behav Med* 2002;**25**:83−97.
86. King AC, Blair SN, Bild DE, Dishman RK, Dubbert PM, Marcus BH, et al. Determinants of physical activity and interventions in adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1992;**24**(Suppl. 6):S221−36.
87. van der Bij AK, Laurant MG, Wensing M. Effectiveness of physical activity interventions for older adults: a review. *Am J Prev Med* 2002;**22**:120−33.
88. Visek AJ, Olson EA, DiPietro L. Factors predicting adherence to 9 months of supervised exercise in healthy older women. *J Phys Act Health* 2011;**8**:104−10.
89. Seguin RA, Economos CD, Palombo R, Hyatt R, Kuder J, Nelson ME. Strength training and older women: a cross-sectional study examining factors related to exercise adherence. *J Aging Phys Act* 2010;**18**:201−18.
90. Brentano MA, Cadore EL, Da Silva EM, Ambrosini AB, Coertjens M, Petkowicz R, et al. Physiological adaptations to strength and circuit training in postmenopausal women with bone loss. *J Strength Cond Res* 2008;**22**:1816−25.
91. Asikainen TM, Miilunpalo S, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Nenonen A, Pasanen M, Rinne M, et al. Walking trials in postmenopausal women: effect of low doses of exercise and exercise fractionization on coronary risk factors. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2003;**13**:284−92.
92. Busby J, Notelovitz M, Putney K, Grow T. Exercise, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, and cardiorespiratory function in climacteric women. *South Med J* 1985;**78**:769−73.
93. King AC, Haskell WL, Taylor CB, Kraemer HC, DeBusk RF. Group- *vs*. home-based exercise training in healthy older men and women. A community-based clinical trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 1991;**266**:1535−42.
94. Ready AE, Naimark B, Ducas J, Sawatzky JV, Boreskie SL, Drinkwater DT, et al. Influence of walking volume on health benefits in women post-menopause. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1996;**28**:1097−105.
95. Shinkai S, Watanabe S, Kurokawa Y, Torii J, Asai H, Shephard RJ. Effects of 12 weeks of aerobic exercise plus dietary restriction on body composition, resting energy expenditure and aerobic fitness in mildly obese middle-aged women. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1994;**68**:258−65.
96. Chow R, Harrison JE, Notarius C. Effect of two randomised exercise programmes on bone mass of healthy postmenopausal women. *Br Med J* 1987;**295**:1441−4.
97. Irwin ML, Yasui Y, Ulrich CM, Bowen D, Rudolph RE, Schwartz RS, et al. Effect of exercise on total and intra-abdominal body fat in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 2003;**289**:323−30.
98. Bemben DA, Fetters NL, Bemben MG, Nabavi N, Koh ET. Musculoskeletal responses to high- and low-intensity resistance training in early postmenopausal women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000;**32**:1949−57.
99. Scanlon TC, Fragala MS, Stout JR, Emerson NS, Beyer KS, Oliveira LP, et al. Muscle architecture and strength: adaptations to short-term resistance training in older adults. *Muscle Nerve* 2014;**49**:584−92.
100. Conceicao MS, Bonganha V, Vechin FC, de Barros Berton RP, Lixandrao ME, Nogueira FR, et al. Sixteen weeks of resistance training can decrease the risk of metabolic syndrome in healthy postmenopausal women. *Clin Interv Aging* 2013;**8**:1221−8.
101. Balsamo S, Mota LM, Santana FS, Nascimento Dda C, Bezerra LM, Balsamo DO, et al. Resistance training versus weight-bearing aquatic exercise: a cross-sectional analysis of bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *Rev Bras Reumatol* 2013;**53**:193−8.